

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/323,415 06/01/1999 LARRY T. HARADA 06975/041001 9156 26171 09/03/2004 **EXAMINER** FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. NOBAHAR, ABDULHAKIM 1425 K STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500 2132

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/323,415	HARADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abdulhakim Nobahar	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A-SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's y statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35,37-40 and 42-50 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 36 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the sp	accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	∆ □ 1-1	mary (PTO 412)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Response to Arguments

1. This communication is in response to applicant's response received on May 28, 2004.

- 2. The amendments to claims 33, 36, 38, 40 and 41 are acknowledged and that these amendments do not introduce any new matter to the claimed invention.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed May 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. On page 14, lines 12-17 of Remarks, applicants argue that: "the entry website 32A does not append the encrypted demographic information to the request as originally intercepted by the entry website 32A to create an augmented request, nor does it send such an augmented request to a remote website 34A. Instead, the entry website 32A generates a redirect response that is sent back to the browser with demographic information. It is then incumbent upon the browser to send a new request to the remote website 34A."

Minor discloses a method that a server receives (corresponding to the recited intercepting) an end-user request for accessing a remote site (col. 2, lines 44-55; col. 3, lines 37-43). User's demographic information is retrieved and encrypted using the remote site encryption key. Then the encrypted demographic information is combined (corresponding to the recited appending) with the remote site destination address

Art Unit: 2132

(corresponding to the recited data request) and transmitted to the remote site. The remote site destination address is a location on the remote site that the end-user intends to access and corresponds to the originally intercepted data request. These steps of Minor's method for accessing information located on a remote site by an end-user correspond to the limitations of claim 1.

5. In light of the above submission the previous rejection of claims 1-35, 37-40 and 42-50 is maintained as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 11-15, 18, 22, 25, 28, 31, 33, 38 and 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 12 and 22, Minor discloses a method for accessing remote data repositories (corresponding to the recited target server) by a computer operator (end-user) (see, for example, abstract and col. 2, lines 44-50). The end-user request for accessing a remote site is intercepted by an entry web site server that corresponds to the recited proxy server (see, for example, col. 5, lines 25-34). The end-user demographic information is appended to the end-user request (see, for example, col. 1, lines 5-10; col. 2, lines 50-57; col. 3, lines 49-51). Minor also teaches a secure

Art Unit: 2132

communication and encrypts the demographic information (see, for example, column 4, lines 14-15). Minor further discloses that each remote web site (corresponding to the recited target server) has an associated encryption key (corresponding to the recited session key) that is stored in both entry web site and remote web site servers (see, for example, column 4, lines 34-41; column 6, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 28, Minor discloses that the end-user demographic information (profile information) are stored in and retrieved from a designated database at an entry web site server that corresponds to the recited proxy server based on the end-user and Minor further discloses that the end-user computer and the web servers are coupled to a network via a network interface (see, for example, col. 3, lines 45-59; col. 4, lines 3-6; Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 11, Minor discloses that the client utilizes a web browser to make HTTP requests from the remote web server which is a HTTP server (see, for example, column 1, lines 30-40; column 2, lines 5-55; column 7, lines 6-10).

Regarding claims 13-15, 18, 25 and 31, Minor discloses that the entry web site server (corresponding to the recited proxy server) receives a request from user for accessing a remote data site. The entry web site server transmits the request to the remote data repository that corresponds to the recited information server as stated above in the rejection of claim 1 (see, for example, column 4, lines 20-25). At the

remote data repository the encrypted user demographic information (profile information) is extracted to process user request and to prepare a response for the user (see, for example, column 4, lines 25-44 and Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 33 and 38, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claim 1 above and further the following:

Minor discloses that at the entry web site server (corresponding to the recited proxy server), it is determined that to which remote data repository (corresponding to the recited target server), the user request should be sent (see, for example, column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding claim 43-50, Minor discloses:

The method of claim 13 wherein the encrypted user profile information comprises one or more of demographic information, a history of data requests by a user, age of a user, gender of a user, or interests of a user (see, for example, column 1, lines 47-54; column 3, lines 49-54 and column 4, lines 42-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as setforth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Art Unit: 2132

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 16, 17, 23, 26, 29, 37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor) in view of Uhler et al (2001/0039587 A1; hereinafter Uhler).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 23, 29 and 37, Minor does not expressly disclose the use of a reference token to be sent to the remote server along with the subsequent client requests. Uhler, however, teaches a method for accessing web server by a client through a proxy server that uses a cookie (corresponding to the recited reference token) (see, for example, page 6, [0093]-[0094], Fig. 2 and Fig. 4). The cookie is received from the web server and it is transmitted back to the web site with the subsequent client requests. The cookie is used to determine if the client has the proper authentication. The cookie is sent to the client by the web server based on the initial authentication of the client which is associated with the demographic information of the client.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the use of cookie (token) as taught in Uhler in the system of Minor, because the steps of authenticating the client would not be repeated in the subsequent client attempts to access the web site (see Uhler, page 6, [0093]).

Regarding claim 16, this claim is rejected as applied to the like elements of claim 13 above and further the following:

Art Unit: 2132

Uhler teaches that the web server sends a cookie (corresponding to the recited reference token) along with the response to the client (see, for example, page 6, [0093]).

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 2 and 13 as stated above.

Regarding claims 26 and 42, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 2 and 13 above and further the following:

Minor discloses that the remote data repository (web server) stores the extracted user demographic information (profile information) (see column 6, lines 6-16 and lines 46-58).

Claims 7-10, 19-21, 24, 27, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minor et al (5,740,252; hereinafter Minor) in view of Loeb et al (5,245,656; hereinafter Loeb).

Regarding claims 7-10, 19-21, 24, 27, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39 and 40, these claims are rejected as applied to the like elements of claims 1, 13 and 14 as stated above. However, Minor does not expressly disclose the use of a session key to encrypt the user demographic information and to transmit the session key to a remote site server by using public cryptography. Loeb teaches the use of session keys in transmitting user profile to a remote site (see, for example, col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 11 and col. 3, line 1-

Art Unit: 2132

10). The session keys are transmitted between the servers by use of public key cryptography.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement the use public key cryptographic technique to transmit a session key from one server to another server as taught in Loeb in the system of Minor, because it would provide secure transmission of session key over a public network (see col. 3, lines 18-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2132

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abdulhakim Nobahar whose telephone number is 703-

305-8074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdulhakim Nobaha

Page 9

Examiner Art Unit 2132

AN

August 31, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100